

**MINUTES OF THE 44TH MEETING OF THE SENATE (EMERGENCY MEETING) HELD
IN THE SENATE HALL, N.I.T., WARANGAL AT 3.30 P.M. ON 08.02.2011**

Members Present:

Prof. V. Rama Rao, Chairman

External Member: Dr. Pochaiiah Maraty, Member, APPSC, Hyderabad.

Internal Members:

- | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1. Prof. C.B. Kameswara Rao | 19. Prof. R.C.S. Sastry |
| 2. Prof. N.V. Uma Mahesh | 20. Prof. Y. Pydisetty |
| 3. Prof. P. Anand Raj | 21. Prof. T. Ramesh |
| 4. Prof. D. Rama Seshu | 22. Prof. B.B. Amberker |
| 5. Prof. G. Rajesh Kumar | 23. Prof. J. Ramachandra Rao |
| 6. Prof. C.S.R.K. Prasad | 24. Prof. A. Ramachandra Reddy |
| 7. Prof. M. Sydulu | 25. Prof. S.V.S. Ramana Reddy |
| 8. Prof. D.M. Vinod Kumar | 26. Prof. L. Ramgopal Reddy |
| 9. Prof. D.V.S.S. Siya Sarma | 27. Prof. B.V. Appa Rao |
| 10. Prof. R.V. Chalam | 28. Prof. G.V.P. Chandra Mouli |
| 11. Prof. G.R.N. Tagore | 29. Prof. I. Ajit Kumar Reddy |
| 12. Prof. A.V. Narasimha Rao | 30. Prof. P. Nageswara Rao |
| 13. Prof. L. Krishnanand | 31. Prof. T.K.V. Iyengar |
| 14. Prof. K.S.R. Krishna Prasad | 32. Prof. G. Radha Krishnamacharya |
| 15. Prof. N.S. Murthy | 33. Prof. D.S. Kesava Rao |
| 16. Prof. N.V.S.N. Sharma | 34. Dr. C.B. Rama Rao |
| 17. Prof. M. Krishna Mohan | 35. Dr. A. Venu Vinod |
| 18. Prof. G. Venkat Reddy | 36. Dr. M. Ravinder Reddy |

Prof. K. Madhu Murthy, Registrar & Secretary, Senate

Special Invitee

1. Dr. D. Dinakar
Deputy Registrar, Academic
2. Mr. A. Veeresh Babu
Coordinator, SC/ST Cell
Asst. Professor, M.E.D.,

The following Members expressed their inability to attend the above Meeting:

External Members:

1. Prof. D.K. Subramanian, Retd. Professor of I.I.Sc., Bangalore.
2. Prof. Alladi Uma, Professor of English University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad.

Internal Members:

1. Prof. B. Kotiveerachari
2. Prof. C.S.P. Rao
3. Prof. S. Srinivasa Rao
4. Prof. P. Bangaru Babu
5. Prof. P. Suryaprakasha Rao
6. Prof. D.V.L.N. Somayajulu
7. Prof. K. Srimannarayana
8. Prof. G. Ramachandra Reddy
9. Prof. A. Ramachandraiah
10. Prof. K. Laxma Reddy
11. Prof. Y.N. Reddy

The Chairman welcomed the members to the 44th meeting of the Senate convened as an emergency meeting in view of the recent developments.

The Chairman informed the body about the nomination of the following external members on the Senate:

- 1) Prof. D.K. Subramanian, Retd. Professor of I.I.Sc., Bangalore.
- 2) Prof. Pochaiiah Maraty, Member A.P. Public Service Commission, Govt. of A.P., Hyderabad.
- 3) Prof. Uma Alladi, Professor of English, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad.

The Chairman welcomed Prof. Pochaiiah into the Senate.

The Dean (Academic Affairs) introduced Prof. Pochaiiah Maraty to the Senate body and thanked him for making it convenient to participate in the proceedings of the Senate today.

The Chairman presented the recent developments in the case of Mr. A. Rahul Kumar Naik a student of B.Tech. (Bio-Technology) of the Institute and the two sittings had with the National Commission for Scheduled Tribes (NCST) on 21.01.2011 and 02.02.2011 at New Delhi. Later, the Chairman informed the Senate that Mr. Ranjit Naik father of Mr. Rahul Kumar Naik is being given an opportunity to present the case for ten minutes as was agreed during discussions at NCST, New Delhi. Members were requested to go through the appeal submitted by Mr. Ranjit Naik on 08.02.2011 which was circulated as part of Agenda Notes.

Mr. Ranjit Naik was invited to present the case of his son Mr. Rahul Kumar Naik, within the given ten minutes. Mr. Ranjit Naik presented the case to the Senate pointing out certain sequence of events which he considers to be lapses leading to mishandling of the case and made his appeal to the body to consider the request of declaring the results of VII-semester and VIII-semester at the earliest.

- 1) To review the case of Mr. Rahul Kumar Naik, a student of B.Tech. (Bio-Technology) vide Roll No.6837 of the Institute in view of the two recent meetings with NCST at New Delhi.

The Senate considered and discussed the case thread bare to look into the aspects presented by Mr. Ranjit Naik and the problems thus posed.

After deliberations taking into account the pros and cons and the special circumstances where Mr. Ranjit Kumar Naik represented the case of his son Mr. Rahul Kumar Naik to NCST, New Delhi the members considered the case sympathetically and decided to evaluate the examination scripts of VII & VIII-semesters of Mr. Rahul Kumar Naik making exception in this extra ordinary situation ignoring certain conditions of the academic rules of the Institute.

It is also decided that the process of evaluation of the VII & VIII-semester examination scripts of Mr. Rahul Kumar Naik, for the case being sensitive, would be:

- 1) Double valuation (one by an external examiner drawn from any other NIT in addition to the internal examiner)
- 2) The scripts would be evaluated in a manner to scale-up the end-semester examination question paper for a maximum marks of 100.
- 3) The average of the marks awarded by the internal examiner and the external examiner would be the basis for award of the letter Grade in each of the subjects.
- 4) For awarding letter grades, the average of the marks awarded is mapped to letter grade by using the same map that was used, for the batch of IV/IV B.Tech. (Bio-Technology) class that appeared for examinations during 2009-10, in the subject concerned. This grade shall be with reference to the regular examinations and not a Summer Quarter of Supplementary examinations conducted
- 5) The evaluation of Seminar, Industrial Training and Project Work will be taken-up in accordance with the existing norms of the Institute.

As regards the result of Mr. Rahul Kumar Naik in Mathematics-III course, the matter is referred back to the Department of Mathematics in view of:

- a) The point presented at second bullet of Mr. Ranjit Naik appeal regarding change of grade from 'F' to 'P' on 24.07.2009. As the Senate is never apprised of the said document and was not considered in all earlier discussions the department is to look into the matter and its veracity.
- b) The various resolutions made by the DAC of the Department so as to take cognizance of the document referred in point (a) above.
- c) The Senate resolutions of the 40th Senate meeting held on 12.07.2010.

After re-examining the case in totality of the situation, and the deliberation had in the Emergency Senate meeting on 08.02.2011 the department is now required to make its recommendation for consideration of the Senate regarding the result of Mr. Rahul Kumar Naik in Mathematics-III.

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.


CHAIRMAN,
SENATE 09.02.11